

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/658,979		09/10/2003	Gabriel Slup	10286.0292.CPUS04 BJSC:29	3971		
23369	7590	11/24/2006		EXAMINER			
HOWRE	Y LLP		THOMPSON, KENNETH L				
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT							
2941 FAIF	EVIEW I	PARK DRIVE, SUI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		VA 22042-7195	3672				
					•		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,979	SLUP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth Thompson	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 No</u>	ovember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15 and 20-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>45-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 6-15 and 20-40</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

The indicated allowability of claim 4 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Szarka et al., U.S. 2002/0148615. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hernandez et al., US 2004/0000407 in view of Szarka et al., U.S. 2002/0148615.

Hernandez et al. discloses a mandrel (16), a packing element inherently included in the completion in figure 5, a flapper valve (14), tab (26), recess (31), spring (not shown, 0019), hinge (24) and a curved shape providing adaptation precluding relative rotation between it and the mandrel. Hernandez et al. does not disclose the flapper being non-metallic. Szarka et al. teaches use of a flapper being constructed from composites and plastics [0010] to facilitate milling or drilling out the valve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange for the valve disclosed by Hernandez et al. to be formed from non-metallic composite or plastic as taught by Szarka et al. to facilitate milling or drilling it out. The

Art Unit: 3672

use of a known material based on its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration known to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 6-15 and 20-40 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,979

Art Unit: 3672

22 November 2006

Kenneth Thompson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672